

RatingsDirect®

Credit FAQ:

An Overview Of Standard & Poor's New Insurance Criteria

Primary Credit Analysts:

Dominic Crawley, London (44) 20-7176-3784; dominic.crawley@standardandpoors.com
Emmanuel Dubois-Pelerin, Paris (33) 1-4420-6673; emmanuel_dubois-pelerin@standardandpoors.com
Karin Clemens, Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-193; karin_clemens@standardandpoors.com
Gregory S Gaskel, New York (1) 212-438-2787; greg_gaskel@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Frequently Asked Questions

Related Criteria And Research

Credit FAQ:

An Overview Of Standard & Poor's New Insurance Criteria

This week, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services published new criteria governing its approach to rating insurers globally. Some of the most common questions we expect from the industry are answered here.

Frequently Asked Questions

Why is Standard & Poor's publishing new insurance criteria?

This series represents the insurance part of our commitment to the market, undertaken in 2008, to enhance the transparency, rigor, and specificity of our criteria across sectors and asset classes. Our objective is to provide the market with greater insight about how we rate insurers and to enhance the global comparability of our ratings through a clear, coherent, and globally consistent criteria framework.

For example, we have introduced a matrix that shows in a very transparent manner how we derive the anchor based on the combination of the business and financial risk profiles. In addition, we are much more specific as to how the macroeconomic and industry environment affects the creditworthiness of an insurer, having introduced the assessment of industry and country risk as an explicit rating factor.

What criteria have been published?

- Our new framework to rate global insurers (except bond insurers). This is based on eight specific rating factors;
- Our new Group Rating Methodology to rate subsidiaries and holding companies, consolidating our approaches for financial institutions and insurance groups;
- Our new consolidated enterprise risk management (ERM) criteria;
- Our updated short-term/long-term ratings linkage criteria, with the insurance sector converging with the corporate and sovereign sectors.

What other related articles have been published?

- A list of issuers with ratings under criteria observation due to our new insurers rating methodology. Under Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009, rating agencies are required immediately to place credit ratings potentially affected by such changes "under observation."
- Insurance Industry And Country Risk Assessments. We have assigned Insurance Industry and Country Risk Assessments to 97 insurance sectors covering 52 countries and four global sectors.
- Credit FAQ about what informative evidence we use in our updated enterprise risk management analysis.

What will the rating impact be?

We currently expect a majority of our ratings to remain unchanged. Any ratings changes are likely to be within one notch of the current ratings. Preliminary results suggest that positive rating actions will slightly outweigh negative rating actions. We expect very few rating changes by more than one notch. During our testing, we have not identified any sectors or regions in which the distribution of ratings is likely to change significantly.

What are the key changes in these criteria?

The changes are more evolution than revolution. In the sectors covered by the new criteria framework, ratings performance (defaults and transitions) has in our view been very satisfactory during the past decade. We would highlight three key changes:

- The introduction of a business risk/financial risk matrix framework to anchor our insurer ratings;
- The formalization of our insurance industry country risk assessment (IICRA); and
- Specification of the combined impact of ERM and management and governance on our insurer ratings.

How have the criteria changed since the request for comment last year?

We solicited feedback from the market during the comment period, and considered that feedback carefully. We believe we have responded to market perceptions that analytical judgment was under-represented and that too many features could cap ratings. In part to address these concerns, but also because of our own criteria-development thought process, we adjusted various scoring elements in the framework to be less formulaic and more judgmental. For example:

- The matrix that generates the anchor now includes more possible outcomes and specifies how judgment is to be applied when the matrix has two.
- We now expect the fixed-charge coverage rating cap to apply only in specifically highly leveraged situations.
- We replaced guidance perceived to be rigid with examples of how elements should be assessed, such as in the geographic diversification subfactor of competitive position and in the risk-position factor.

Our discussions with the industry and the market and the many comments we received were helpful in adjusting our communication about our new ratings framework. More details are available in appendix D of "Insurers: Rating Methodology," published May 7, 2013, on RatingsDirect.

How has the performance of insurance ratings in the past informed the criteria?

The main sources that we used to review the history of insurance-company defaults are our default studies. Creditworthiness in this heavily regulated sector appears to be sustainable during periods of economic stress. Default rates have increased during periods of stress, such as economic downturns or following major catastrophes, but have remained relatively low and are among the lowest of any sector.

The global financial crisis did not trigger a wave of life insurance and property/casualty (P/C) defaults. In fact, no significant insurer that we rated at the time has defaulted due to the financial crisis. Insurers defaulted in the bond insurance and mortgage insurance sectors, but these are outside the scope of the criteria.

The criteria globally address the issues that caused these failures, specifically through:

- New liquidity metrics;
- Capital metrics that focus more on asset-liability risks;
- IICRA metrics that take into account industry-wide pricing adequacy; and
- A larger role for ERM for insurers with complex risks.

See the appendix in the main criteria document for more details.

How does Standard & Poor's arrive at the rating?

Two factors--the new IICRA assessment and the company-specific competitive position--determine the insurer's business-risk profile. The financial risk profile has three components--capital and earnings, risk position, and financial flexibility. We take the anchor and the combined impact of ERM and management and governance into account to generate the indicative stand-alone credit profile or group credit profile.

We then add two more factors: the insurer's liquidity and the sovereign rating, which most of the time would be neutral, and in rare cases cap ratings. We assess each of these eight factors in an explicitly forward-looking approach. For example, capital and earnings, which anchors the financial profile, is now clearly assessed by projecting capital and earnings two to three years out.

We expect to publish our assessments of these factors regularly for each insurance group we rate globally.

How does the insurance industry country risk assessment (IICRA) work?

Our previous criteria included industry- and country-related risks. These are not risks that we are considering for the first time. But they are complex and varied around the globe.

We have now added a transparent, robust, and specific framework for analyzing these risks systematically. We analyze four specifically identified features of country risk and five similarly specified aspects of industry risk--together nine "subfactors." We aggregate them in a specified way to generate IICRA assessments. For example, we will publish one each for Canada's life insurance sector, the U.S. health insurance sector, and Russia's P/C sector. We expect to publish these assessments regularly for the countries and insurance sectors where we rate companies.

Regarding country risks specifically, the framework intends to ensure consistency with our views in other asset classes. For example the economic, political, and financial-system factors underlying country risk analysis draw on our sovereign and banking criteria (see "Insurers: Rating Methodology," published May 7, 2013, for more detail).

When will new ratings be announced?

From late May through the end of July, we intend to release the ratings, followed by individual research updates, on all interactively rated (re)insurance companies based on the revised methodologies. We aim to communicate all rating actions (affirmations, upgrades, downgrades, CreditWatch placements) by the end of July.

From July onward, we plan to review our unsolicited ratings, which we will complete within six months of the effective date of our new insurance criteria.

When will individual IICRA reports be published?

On May 7, 2013, we assigned IICRAs to 97 insurance sectors covering 52 countries and four global sectors. We expect to publish reports on all sectors before the end of this year. In the interim, the rating rationales we release on each insurer will also explain these industry and country risks and how they relate to our ratings.

How will the revised ratings be released?

We have segmented insurance companies into groups and will publish ratings on insurers in these groups at the same time via a single "group media release." Each group media release will include a ratings list showing the insurers included in that group, the new ratings under the revised criteria, and the former rating. The list will include holding

companies and rated subsidiaries that are core and highly strategic and may also include strategically important, moderately strategic, or nonstrategic subsidiaries (as our criteria define these terms). For example, the first group media release will include the largest global reinsurers and global multiline insurers and a small number of large regionally focused insurers. Subsequent group media releases will be more regionally focused. We will communicate to the insurers which group they are in and when we expect to publish.

Following each group media release, we will publish individual research updates on each insurance group, including a list of ratings on affiliated entities, as well as the ratings by debt type--senior, subordinated, junior subordinated, and equity hybrid instruments including preferred stock. We may publish a separate research update on subsidiaries that we consider strategically important, moderately strategic, or nonstrategic as our ratings on these are based more on their individual credit characteristics than on support from the group to which they belong.

Where is more information available?

All commentaries, videos, and the research updates will be available at: www.standardandpoors.com/insurancecriteria and on RatingsDirect. There will also be information at www.ratings.standardandpoors.com/insurance/Global_criteria

Related Criteria And Research

- Special Report: U.S. Insurers Eye Emerging Demographic, Economic, And Climatic Trends In 2013, June 4, 2013
- Credit FAQ: What Informative Evidence Does Standard & Poor's Use In Its Updated Enterprise Risk Management Analysis?, May 8, 2013
- Insurers: Rating Methodology, May 7, 2013
- Enterprise Risk Management, May 7, 2013
- Group Rating Methodology, May 7, 2013
- Methodology For Linking Short-Term And Long-Term Ratings For Corporate, Insurance, And Sovereign Issuers, May 7, 2013
- List Of Issuers With Ratings Under Criteria Observation Due To S&P's New Insurers Rating Methodology, May 7, 2013
- Standard & Poor's Assigns Insurance Industry And Country Risk Assessments, May 7, 2013

Copyright © 2013 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

McGRAW-HILL