

MOF's Latest Statement Reiterates Concern Over Rising Local Government Debt In China

Primary Credit Analyst:

Gloria Lu, CFA, FRM, Hong Kong (852) 2533-3596; gloria.lu@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Christopher Lee, Hong Kong (852) 2533-3562; christopher.k.lee@spglobal.com

HONG KONG (S&P Global Ratings) Nov. 8, 2016--S&P Global Ratings said today that China's Ministry of Finance (MOF)'s press statement on Nov. 4, 2016, reiterates its concerns on rising local government debt. It is also consistent with the MOF's efforts to distance local governments from the debt of local government financing vehicles (LGFVs).

According to the statement, effective Jan. 1, 2015, all new debt of Chinese LGFVs is not part of government debt and shall be repaid by the LGFVs on their own. The MOF reiterated that local governments will only bear limited responsibility for LGFVs to the extent as shareholders. This indicates that investors shall bear the risk for investing in non-government debt of LGFVs. There will be no direct bailout from the government in case of a default.

In our opinion, the recent statements of the MOF in different occasions are consistent with the No. 43 circular and the new budget law. With the latest statement, the MOF aims to: (1) warn local governments to not make any guarantee or undertakings on LGFV debt in breach of the new budget law; (2) remind the market that the risks of investing in LGFV debt should not be regarded as the same as government risk; and (3) prompt business transformation of LGFVs to make them financially independent from local governments.

"We believe the untangling of LGFVs' financial relationships and operations from local governments is a bumpy and protracted process," said S&P Global Ratings credit analyst Gloria Lu. "Local governments and LGFVs are likely to only gradually reduce their close relationship because LGFVs still play a

leading role in ramping up infrastructure investments and undertaking various social economic policies to support economic growth."

For the LGFVs that we rate, most if not all of their businesses are still under the mandate of local governments and they continue to incur debt for infrastructure projects.

In the meantime, the funding of local governments is constrained by the new debt quota set by the MOF each year. For 2016, MOF approved a total of Chinese renminbi (RMB) 1.18 trillion in new debt to all local governments, or an average of about RMB40 billion per province. This amount falls short of the continued capital needs for infrastructure and other developments.

"The mismatch in revenue and expenses of local governments is a structural issue that requires a reform of the institutional framework, including the revenue and expense sharing with the central government," said Ms. Lu. "Until this is addressed, we continue to see local governments using off-budget funding vehicles such as LGFVs to plug the fiscal deficit gap."

Although the MOF's statement does not have any immediate rating impact on LGFVs that we rate, we believe the transition risks of LGFVs for which we have considered strong support from governments in times of distress may increase if the central government enforces its stance strongly. However, the risk is not substantial because significant defaults by LGFVs could destabilize the financial system. Also, any significant number of defaults could derail the central government's plan to reduce the default risk of local governments through the new budget law and the debt swap program. LGFVs, taking into account their development role for local governments, are closely linked to the government reputation and are more strategically important than most other local SOEs. We believe local government support to LGFVs continues to be evolving with high policy risk and subject to the game of benefits between central and local governments.

We expect the business transformation, coupled with unfolding public-private partnership in funding infrastructure and China's economic rebalancing, to weaken the primary development role of LGFVs for local governments. Business transformation is an enduring and painful process for LGFVs that they cannot avert. Improvement in transparency, governance, and financial management are equally important for LGFVs exploring cash-generative businesses with reasonable returns. Some LGFVs are making use of the current break of easing liquidity to accelerate business transformation. However, we don't expect them to improve their stand-alone credit profiles any time soon, and we will continue to see most LGFVs having high debt leverage, meager profitability, volatile cash flow, and illiquid assets.

RELATED CRITERIA AND RESEARCH

Related Research

- China's Revised Corporate Bond Rules May Increase Risks For Lower-Tier LGFVs, Sept. 8, 2016
- China's New Rule On Land Reserves Isn't A Quick Fix For LGFV Debts, March

8, 2016

Only a rating committee may determine a rating action and this report does not constitute a rating action.

S&P Global Ratings, part of S&P Global Inc. (NYSE: SPGI), is the world's leading provider of independent credit risk research. We publish more than a million credit ratings on debt issued by sovereign, municipal, corporate and financial sector entities. With over 1,400 credit analysts in 26 countries, and more than 150 years' experience of assessing credit risk, we offer a unique combination of global coverage and local insight. Our research and opinions about relative credit risk provide market participants with information that helps to support the growth of transparent, liquid debt markets worldwide.

Copyright © 2016 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.